BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2009-473-W/S

	IN R	E:)	
	Servi rates certa	ication of Tega Cay Water ce, Inc. for adjustment of and charges and modifications to n terms and conditions for the sion of water and sewer service. REBUTTAL TESTIMONY CARL DANIEL	OF
1	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME CARL DANIEL THAT HAS PREFILED DIRECT	T
2		TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?	
3	A.	Yes, I am.	
4			
5	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THI	S
6		PROCEEDING, MR. DANIEL?	
7	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond on behalf of Tega Cay Water	er
8		Service, Inc. to portions of the pre-filed direct testimony of Willie Morgan on behalf of	of
9		the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff, or "ORS" related to the Company	's
10		proposed tariff revision regarding cross-connection inspections.	
11			

DOES THE COMPANY AGREE WITH MR. MORGAN'S SUGGESTED RATE SCHEDULE LANGUAGE WITH RESPECT TO CROSS-CONNECTION INSPECTION?

The Company does not believe that ORS's proposal that TCWS be required to provide customers a 30-day advance written notice of the recurring date when the customer must have their backflow prevention device tested is properly included in a rate schedule. As the Commission is aware, DHEC Regulation 61-58.7.F requires customers with a cross-connection and a backflow prevention device to have the device inspected annually by a certified inspector. This regulation is to ensure safe operation of the water system and to prevent contaminated water from entering the drinking water system. These connections are neither installed by the Company nor at its direction; to the contrary, many, if not most, cross-connections occur without the Company's knowledge. These cross-connections may consist of irrigation wells, swimming pools, fish ponds and the like and it is the customer's responsibility to install, maintain and test annually a backflow prevention device to prevent contamination of potable water from these sources. However, as indicated in its proposed rate schedule, the Company has no objection to providing customers a thirty-day written notice that their service will be disconnected if the customer does not have the backflow prevention device independently tested. TCWS also would not object to informing customers of DHEC's website and contact information. Because cross-connections may occur independently of the Company at the instance of individual customers and are subject to DHEC regulations requiring this testing, TCWS also does not believe the language proposed by ORS is appropriately included in its rate schedule.

Q.

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTAL TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes.

3